Decoherence Correction by the Zeno Effect and Non-Holonomic Control

E. Brion,[1,*] G. Harel,[2,3] N. Kebaili,[1] V. M. Akulin,[1,†] and I. Dumer[4,‡]
[1] *Laboratoire Aimé Cotton, CNRS II, Bâtiment 505, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France*
[2] *Spinoza Institute, Utrecht University, Leuvenlaan 4, 3508 TD Utrecht, The Netherlands*
[3] *Department of Computing, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, United Kingdom*
[4] *College of Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA*
(February 1, 2008)

We show that multidimensional Zeno effect combined with non-holonomic control allows to efficiently protect quantum systems from decoherence by a method similar to classical coding. Contrary to the conventional approach, our method is applicable to arbitrary error-inducing Hamiltonians and general quantum systems. We also propose algorithms of finding encoding that approaches the Hamming upper bound along with methods of practical realizations of the encodings. Two new codes protecting 2 information qubits out of 7 and 4 information qubits out of 9 against a single error with arbitrarily small probability of failure are constructed as an example.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Fd, 03.67.Lx, 32.80.Qk

arXiv:quant-ph/0211003v1 31 Oct 2002

Decay and decoherence are the two processes that occur in open quantum systems. Two different relaxation times T_1 and T_2 characterize these processes in two-level systems. Though both processes represent irreversible relaxation, they are of a rather different nature. The number of occupied states given by the rank of the density matrix - an analog of the phase volume in the classical case - may change in an elementary act of relaxation, and usually does it towards diminishing. By contrast, this number does not change in an elementary act of decoherence, but yet increases after being averaged over subsequent elementary acts.

In this Letter, we show how one can completely suppress decoherence in a universal way. We employ basic ideas of classical error-correcting codes and their powerful extensions [1] that make use of extraspecial and Clifford groups to build quantum codes from their classical counterparts. However, our approach considerably deviates from this method, since it relies on the full group of unitary transformations in the entire Hilbert space. We also employ the idea known as the Zeno effect [2] that states that periodic measurements allow to keep a quantum system in the initial state for as long as needed, provided that the period T is short relative to the relaxation time T_2. However, contrary to the conventional Zeno effect, we do not intervene into the entire quantum system. Instead, we only affect the auxiliary part, the ancilla, to protect the quantum state of the main part. As a general tool for protecting any system from decoherence, we suggest the non-holonomic control [3], which allows one to perform any predetermined transformation including encoding in the Hilbert space of a quantum system.

An important example emerges when a classical binary code is compared with its conventional quantum counterpart [1,4]. Both codes add the auxiliary part to increase minimal discrepancy between possible states. However, classical codes use a finite alphabet mapping k information bits onto a single code vector of a bigger length n. By contrast, the state of k qubits is a continuous complex-valued vector in the entire 2^k-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_k$. When the original system is expanded by $n-k$ ancilla qubits in some state $|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$, the extended state vectors form a subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k,\widetilde{\alpha}} = |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\mathcal{H}_n$ in the bigger space $\mathcal{H}_n$. Quantum encoding $\widehat{C}$ is a unitary transformation that maps the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k,\widetilde{\alpha}}$ onto a 2^k dimensional code subspace $\mathcal{C} = \widehat{C}\mathcal{H}_{k,\widetilde{\alpha}}$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ spans over all columns of the rectangular matrix $\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$, and has zero projections on all $2^n - 2^k$ vectors that form the columns of any other matrix $\widehat{C}\,|\alpha\rangle$ with $|\alpha\rangle \perp |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ in the ancilla.

There is also a difference in the decoding process. A corrupted codeword can be fully retrieved and corrected by a classical code. By contrast, in quantum mechanics, retrieval of the entire state vector by a measurement destroys the data. A natural way of quantum correction is therefore to find an encoding $\widehat{C}$ and decoding $\widehat{C}^{-1}$ that can move all possible errors to the ancilla. In this case, resetting the ancilla to the state $|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ gives a projection of the entire state vector exactly to its original position in the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k,\widetilde{\alpha}}$. To this end, given any set of errors $\left\{\widehat{E}_m\right\}$, we should find a code $\mathcal{C} = \{|v\rangle\}$ such that all possible error vectors $\widehat{E}_l\,|v\rangle$ are orthogonal to $\mathcal{C}$ and to each other [5] according to the condition

$$\langle v'|\,\widehat{E}_s\widehat{E}_l\,|v\rangle = 0; \quad \forall\,|v\rangle\,,|v'\rangle \in \mathcal{C}; \quad \forall \widehat{E}_s, \widehat{E}_l \in \left\{\widehat{E}_m\right\}. \tag{1}$$

Formally, this requirement coincides with the definition of a code $\mathcal{C}$ (see [4] p. 436) written for zero trace matrices $\widehat{E}_m$ [6], although the errors we consider are not restricted to the extraspecial group in contrast to the original formulation. It can be seen that Eq.(1) also corresponds to the classical Gilbert-Varshamov [7] bound.

Below we describe a recipe for constructing codes that are "nearly" orthogonal to error vectors with any prede-

termined accuracy, so that the corrupted state vector falls arbitrarily close to the original code vector, after being projected on the code subspace. It allows one to replace the rather strict condition Eq.(1) by a weaker condition,

$$\langle v'|\,\widehat{E}_m\,|v\rangle = 0;\quad \forall\,|v\rangle\,,|v'\rangle \in \mathcal{C};\quad \forall \widehat{E}_m \in \left\{\widehat{E}_m\right\}. \tag{2}$$

Note that the latter can be thought of as an analog of the classical Hamming bound [7]. We emphasize that our approach extends beyond the quantum systems composed of identical two-level particles and is valid for any quantum system separable into a main part and an ancilla. In fact, this approach only depends on the total number M of the error-inducing Hamiltonians $\left\{\widehat{E}_m\right\}$ regardless of their specific matrix structure.

To design codes that meet the conditions of Eq.(2), we will use the Zeno effect. The regular Zeno effect allows one to restore the initial state vector up to the second-order terms in T/T_2, which are very small provided that $T \ll T_2$. The essence of this phenomenon is based on the heuristic that within a short time interval, a unitary quantum evolution moves any state vector in a direction orthogonal to the vector itself. In our version of the Zeno effect, the subsequent projection is done to the 2^k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_{k,\widetilde{\alpha}}$ instead of the state vector. Therefore, our primary goal is to find a code $\mathcal{C}$ such that for short time intervals, any error Hamiltonian in $\left\{\widehat{E}_m\right\}$ moves any code vector in a direction perpendicular to $\mathcal{C}$.

To implement the Zeno effect, consider the state vector $|S\rangle = |s\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ of the compound system formed by the information part in the state $|s\rangle$ and the ancilla in the state $|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$. The vector $|S\rangle$ undergoes an uncontrolled unitary evolution

$$\widehat{U}_E = \prod_{m=1}^{M} e^{-i\widehat{E}_m \int f_m(t)dt} \simeq \widehat{I} - i\sum_{m=1}^{M} \widehat{E}_m \int f_m(t)dt \tag{3}$$

where the time ordering of the product is implicit. To illustrate the idea of the approach, we simply treat the errors $\widehat{E}_m$ as Hamiltonians of interactions with external random fields $f_m(t)$ that produce an uncontrolled evolution of the system. We also assume that over the Zeno period T the different fields have actions $\int_t^{t+T} f_m(x)dx \left|\widehat{E}_m\right| \ll 1$ that are so small that only the identity operator $\widehat{I}$ and the first order terms $\sim \int f_m(t)dt$ are important in the Taylor series, whereas the higher orders can be ignored.

Let $\widehat{\rho}_{sc} = |s\rangle \otimes \langle s|$ be the density matrix of the main part before the action of errors. Then $\widehat{\rho} = |S\rangle \otimes \langle S| = |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\,\widehat{\rho}_{sc}\,\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|$ is the density matrix of the entire system. The variation $\delta\widehat{\rho}_{sc} = \langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\delta\widehat{\rho}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ of the density matrix of the main system after the perturbation Eq.(3) and resetting of the ancilla is given by the commutator

$$\delta\widehat{\rho}_{sc} = -i\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M}\int f_m(t)dt\,\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\,,\widehat{\rho}_{sc}\right]. \tag{4}$$

Therefore $\widehat{\rho}_{sc}$ satisfies the master equation

$$i\frac{d\widehat{\rho}_{sc}}{dt} = \left[\widehat{h}_e, \widehat{\rho}_{sc}\right];\quad \widehat{h}_e = \sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m\,\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle \tag{5}$$

with effective Hamiltonian $\widehat{h}_e$. Then requirement Eq.(2) implies that $\widehat{h}_e = 0$ and $\widehat{\rho}_{sc}$ =const, whereas the relation $|v\rangle = \widehat{C}\,|s\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ holds for the code vectors and the states of the system.

Our next step is to design codes that satisfy Eq.(2). Let $N = 2^k$ and $A = 2^{n-k}$ be the dimensions of the Hilbert spaces formed by the main part (information subsystem) and ancilla, respectively. Then Eq. (2) is a set of $M \times N^2$ equations taken over $A \times N^2$ matrix elements $\langle\alpha| \otimes \langle s'|\,\widehat{C}\,|s\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ of the encoding operator that define the rectangular $N \times NA$ matrix $\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$. To solve this system, we wish to limit the number of equations by the number of free variables. This gives the Hamming upper bound in the form $M \leq A$. To specify Eq. (2) further, let the states $|s\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ correspond to the first N positions of the state vector, which implies that each of the M matrices $\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}$ has all-zero upper left $N \times N$ corner. Standard methods of linear algebra do not give a recipe of finding a linear transformation $\widehat{C}$ that simultaneously sets to zero the corners of M different matrices. The first result of the paper is an iterative algorithm which does so, and hence finds a code $\mathcal{C}$ that satisfies the conditions of Eq.(2) given M arbitrary error Hamiltonians $\widehat{E}_m$.

To illustrate the method, we first find a single vector $|x\rangle$ of length $N \times A$ that is orthogonal to all M error vectors $\widehat{E}_m\,|x\rangle$. In other words, $|x\rangle$ should satisfy M quadratic equations

$$\langle x|\,\widehat{E}_m\,|x\rangle = 0. \tag{6}$$

Our input is a randomly selected vector $|x\rangle$ of unit length. If, by chance, $|x\rangle$ is orthogonal to all vectors $\widehat{E}_m\,|x\rangle$, the problem is solved. Otherwise, there exists a linear combination $\sum_{m=1}^{M}\gamma_m\widehat{E}_m\,|x\rangle$ that minimizes the length of the vector $\sum_{m=1}^{M}\gamma_m\widehat{E}_m\,|x\rangle + |x\rangle$. To find it, we employ the standard variational principle and solve the corresponding set of linear equations for γ_m. In our further iterations, we set $|x\rangle \to \sum_{m=1}^{M}\frac{1}{2}\gamma_m\widehat{E}_m\,|x\rangle + |x\rangle$, then normalize the new vector to unity, and repeat the procedure until it converges. The same algorithm serves to find the entire encoding matrix $\widehat{C}$. For this purpose, one should simply consider a supervector $x = \overrightarrow{\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle}$ of length N^2A by appending the columns of $\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$. Then the orthogonality conditions $\langle\nu_l\,|\nu_s\rangle = 0$ taken for the different columns s, l, $s \neq l$, and the conditions $\langle\nu_l|\,\widehat{E}_m\,|\nu_s\rangle = 0$ give independent equations for this supervector.

This procedure has shown a rapid rate of convergence for all the examples considered, including two new single-error correcting codes with (n,k) parameters $(7,2)$ and $(9,4)$ [8]. Note that these codes are designed in the Hilbert space and perform single error correction with any predetermined accuracy that depends only on T. However, neither of the two codes can exist for conventional quantum techniques that satisfy Eq.(1). More generally, our conjecture is that the above procedure converges if the length of a supervector x is no less than the number of quadratic equations Eq.(6), or, equivalently, if the Hamming bound $M \leq A$ holds. For this conjecture, note also that all matrices $\widehat{E}_m$ in Eq.(6) have zero traces.

Once a code $\mathcal{C}$ is found, a question arises as to how the system can be moved into this subspace. Thus, we also need to design an efficient encoding $\widehat{C}$. For the codes built on the Clifford group, this can be done by applying a polynomial number of standard operations like Hadamard gates. It is not the case for an arbitrary quantum system, since for generic error sets $\left\{\widehat{E}_m\right\}$, $\widehat{C}$ is also a generic unitary matrix, and hence an alternative method of coding is required. One can achieve this feasibility with the help of non-holonomic control, by applying a sequence of two different natural Hamiltonians $\widehat{H}_1$ and $\widehat{H}_2$ that satisfy certain conditions [3]. The second result of the paper is an algorithm that allows one to determine a set of non-holonomic control timings $t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots, t_{M'}$ of a reasonably short length M' resulting in the encoding transformation

$$\widehat{C} = e^{-it_{M'}\widehat{H}_2} \cdots e^{-it_3\widehat{H}_1} e^{-it_2\widehat{H}_2} e^{-it_1\widehat{H}_1}. \tag{7}$$

The number M' equals or slightly exceeds the total number MN^2 of relevant error matrix elements.

The key idea of the method is to incorporate the search for the non-holonomic control parameters t_l into the iterative algorithm for the constants γ_m. Being written in the form of an $N \times N$ matrix $\widehat{\gamma}_m$, these constants relate the supervector $\overrightarrow{\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle}$ to its change $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \overrightarrow{\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\,\widehat{\gamma}_m}$, thus allowing us to find the first-order variations $\widehat{\delta}_m = \langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\,\widehat{\gamma}_m \;+\widehat{\gamma}_m^\dagger\,\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m^\dagger\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ of the error matrices projected on the code space. We therefore choose the variations δt_l of the control timings such that the corresponding increments of the error matrices are equal to $\widehat{\delta}_m$ for each m, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\,\widehat{\gamma}_m + \widehat{\gamma}_m^\dagger\,\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m^\dagger\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle \\ &= \beta\sum_{l=1}^{M'}\left(\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\frac{\partial\widehat{C}}{\partial t_l}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle + \langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\frac{\partial\widehat{C}^{-1}}{\partial t_l}\widehat{E}_m^\dagger\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle\right)\delta t_l.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then we continue the iterations, while varying the big parameter β to gain maximum convergency. The number M' of the parameters t_l can be chosen slightly above the minimum number MN^2 in order to avoid a premature stop if the $MN^2 \times MN^2$ supermatrix in the parentheses of Eq.(8) becomes singular for some intermediate approximation $\widehat{C}$ of the coding operator.

This error-correction method becomes asymptotically exact for $T \to 0$. However promising for small quantum systems, it has complexity that is exponent in the number of particles n. Therefore, we also propose an alternative strategy applicable to large systems, which is the third result of the paper. If the computational time required to find the $MN^2 \times MN^2$ matrix becomes prohibitive, thus hindering any way to exactly meet the conditions of Eq.(2), one can minimize the rate of error accumulation in the density matrix, by minimizing the effective Hamiltonians in Eq.(5). For the systems with binary interaction an exponential reduction of the error-accumulation rate can be achieved if the projection rate $1/T$ grows faster than n^2. Moreover, the code rate $R = k/n$ tends to unity as $1 - o(n^2T)$.

In particular, one can reduce all effective Hamiltonians $\widehat{h}_m = f_m\,\langle\widetilde{\alpha}|\,\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}\,|\widetilde{\alpha}\rangle$ by applying a random encoding $\widehat{C}$, which allows to inhibit the rate of decoherence by the order of ancilla dimension $A = 2^{n-k}$. Indeed, for individual qubits, a typical single-particle or binary interaction $\widehat{E}_m$ is given by a sparse matrix that strongly couples each state to sufficiently few other states. By contrast, most matrix elements are zeros. A generic unitary encoding $\widehat{C}$ smears out this coupling over all 2^n states of the entire system, thus making a typical matrix element of $\widehat{C}^{-1}\widehat{E}_m\widehat{C}$ 2^n times smaller than the typical nonzero matrix element of $\widehat{E}_m$. Since only 2^k of these states contribute to the projected interactions $\widehat{h}_m$, the overall gain is equal to 2^{n-k}. From a more general viewpoint, this random encoding rests on the fact that the decoherence rate is a polynomial in the number n of particles, whereas the dimension of the entire Hilbert space is an exponent in n. It allows to store quantum information in strongly entangled states of many-body systems that are only weakly affected by physically realistic perturbations.

This random encoding also allows to specify a relation between the dimension $N = 2^k$ of the information system and the maximum number t of possible errors caused by binary interactions. Given K single-particle quantum states, there exist $M = \sum_{l=1}^{t}\binom{n}{l}\left(K^2-1\right)^l$ different possible error matrices (here $K = 2$ for qubits). Since the energy of binary interaction scales as n^2, each error matrix yields a decoherence rate of $W = n^2/T_2$. This rate is reduced $A = 2^{n-k}$ times after the matrix elements are smeared out over the entire system. Thus, given $n \to \infty$ identical particles, one can correct t errors with high fidelity if the total decoherence rate $W2^{k-n}M$ vanishes. This condition $W2^{k-n}M \to 0$ again gives the Hamming bound [7]

$$1 - k/n - \log_2 M^{1/n} \geq 0. \tag{9}$$

Hence, to asymptotically meet this classical bound, we can randomly choose an (n,k)-code thus leaving the error

patterns of weight t or less uncorrected with a vanishing probability.

Finally, note that the sequential application of two different Hamiltonians suggested by Eq.(7) results in a completely generic transformation, provided that: (i) the number M' of the control timings t_l is of the order of $\log_2(N \times A)$; (ii) the operators $\widehat{H}_1$ and $\widehat{H}_2$ satisfy the conditions of non-holonomic control [3]; and (iii) the time intervals t_l are long enough to ensure big acquired actions $||\widehat{H}_{1,2}\, t_l|| \gg \hbar$. Therefore, given a system, the main problem is to find two controllable interactions that satisfy the conditions needed for non-holonomic control, and allow to perform the decoding transformation $\widehat{C}^{-1}$ at the same level of complexity.

We present such a pair $\widehat{H}_1$, $\widehat{H}_2$ for the example of the molecule proposed in [9] as a toy quantum computer of 7-qubits, for which the applicability of both the exact and the approximative approaches was explicitly verified by determining the control timings and the reduction of effective coupling, respectively. The first operator is the nuclear magneto-dipole interaction

$$\widehat{H}_1 = \sum_j B_x \mu_j \widehat{\sigma}_x^{(j)} \tag{10}$$

of the molecule in the ground ro-vibronic state $|g\rangle$ with a static external magnetic field B_x oriented along the x-axis. The second operator is the Raman coupling

$$\widehat{H}_2 = \sum_{i<j} \sum_{a,b=x,y,z} \delta\omega^{-1} \mu_{i,j}^{(2)} B_a^R B_b^R\, \widehat{\sigma}_a^{(i)} \otimes \widehat{\sigma}_b^{(j)} \tag{11}$$

in a field $\overrightarrow{B^R}$ oscillating at a high-frequency ω detuned by $\delta\omega = \omega_{tr} - \omega$ from the frequency ω_{tr} of transition to an exited ro-vibronic state $|e\rangle$. Here $\mu_j = \langle g|\, \mu_j(r)\, |g\rangle$ is the average value of the coordinate dependent gyromagnetic ratio $\mu_j(r)$ of the j-th nucleus in the ground state, and $\mu_{i,j}^{(2)} = \langle g|\, \mu_i(r)\, |e\rangle \langle e|\, \mu_j(r)\, |g\rangle$ represents the matrix element of the Raman transition. By changing the direction of B_x from positive to negative and reversing the detuning $\delta\omega$, one can vary the signs of the Hamiltonians, which allows one to easily construct the inverse transformation $\widehat{C}^{-1}$ just by inverting the order of the sequence $t_{M'}, \ldots, t_1$ in Eq.(7) along with the change of the signs.

We do not present here [8] a cumbersome set of $M' \sim 2^{5+2\times 2}$ non-holonomic control timings found explicitly with the help of the approximative algorithm for the above single error-correcting code that protects two out of seven qubits in the toy computer. Nor do we give the explicit matrix elements of the effective error Hamiltonians Eq.(5) obtained as a result of ten sequential applications of $\widehat{H}_1$ and $\widehat{H}_2$ that reduce the decoherence rate of the two selected qubits. We only note that our numeric results are completely consistent with the given estimates, whereas the absolute values of the matrix elements obey a Maxwellian-like statistics.

Summarizing the main results of the paper, we consider open quantum systems that consist of two parts - the main part and an ancilla. The latter is being periodically reset to a given quantum state while the main part is protected against uncontrolled decoherence with the accuracy proportional to the period. The number of different error Hamiltonians should not exceed the dimension of the ancilla Hilbert space. Initially disentangled, the two parts are driven to a highly entangled state by means of the unitary non-holonomic control. This new state belongs to a code space such that any error can only move the code vectors in a direction perpendicular to this space. The inverse unitary transformation brings the system to a state that differs from the initial state only in the ancilla part. After resetting the ancilla, the system returns back to the original state. We propose new algorithms that give the corresponding code spaces and parameters required for non-holonomic control. These algorithms have complexity proportional to the dimension N of the entire Hilbert space. For large systems, the computational time required to find a code space becomes prohibitive. However, a coherent control sequence needs only $\log N$ switches to strongly reduce the decoherence rate and bring the system to a highly entangled state weakly sensitive to the decoherence.

---

* Computational resources of IDRIS-CNRS, Orsay, and aid of Annik Bachelier are kindly acknowledged.

† This research was supported by EC QUACS grant.

‡ This research was supported by NSF grant CCR-0097125.

[1] A. R. Calderbank and P. W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1098 (1996).

[2] See the pioneering paper by S. Misra and E. C. G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 756 (1977), and later publications that are closer to the specific problem under consideration: M. B. Plenio, V. Vedral, and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 67 (1997); P. Facchi, S. Pascazio, A. Scardicchio, and L. S. Schulman, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 012108 (2002).

[3] G. Harel and V. M. Akulin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1 (1999); V. M. Akulin, V. Gershkovich, and G. Harel, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 012308 (2001).

[4] One finds this requirement in the book by M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[5] E. Knill and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. **A 55**, 900 (1997).

[6] Actually, it may differ from zero by a scalar matrix. We subtract the scalar to make the matrices traceless.

[7] This bound is found in the book by R. G. Gallager, *Information Theory and Reliable Communication*, Wiley, 1968.

[8] Typical matrices $\widehat{C}$ of these two codes are too cumbersome to present here. Moreover, they do not manifest any specific patterns deserving attention. Examples can be found on the web site http://www.quacs.u-psud.fr/quacs/codes.htm along with corresponding timings of the non-holonomic control.

[9] L. M. K. Vandersypen, M. Steffen, G. Breyta, C. S. Yannoni, M. H. Sherwood, and I. L. Chuang, Nature **414**, 883 (2001).